Joe Lombardo Governor

Nicole Canada, DC President Benjamin S. Lurie, DC Vice President Jason O. Jaeger DC Secretary-Treasurer



Xavier Martinez, DC Member Adam L. Ingles, DC Member Christian L. Augustin, Esq. Consumer Member Reza R. Ayazi, Esq. Consumer Member

> Julie Strandberg Executive Director

CHIROPRACTIC PHYSICIAN'S BOARD OF NEVADA

 4600 Kietzke Lane, M-245
 Reno, Nevada 89502-5000

 Phone: (775) 688-1921
 Fax: (775) 688-1920

 Website: http://chirobd.nv.gov

A meeting of the Chiropractic Physicians' Board was held on Thursday, July 11, 2024 by zoom conference.

The following Board members were present at roll call:

Benjamin S. Lurie, DC, Vice President Jason O. Jaeger, DC, Board Member Xavier Martinez, DC, Board Member Adam Ingles, DC, Board Member Reza R. Ayazi, Esq. Consumer Member

Also, present were Board Counsel, Louis Ling, Esq. and Executive Director, Julie Strandberg.

Vice President, Dr. Lurie determined a quorum was present and called the meeting to order.

Dr. Lurie welcomed all guests and asked if there was anyone joining the meeting for continuing education and there were none.

Dr. Lurie reminded the audience that this is a zoom meeting and as a courtesy if you are not speaking please mute your microphone and since you are on camera be aware of your actions and background surroundings, if you would like to speak raise your hand or use the icons at the bottom of the screen. Please refrain from eating or turn your camera off to do so.

Dr. Jaeger led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance. Dr. Lurie stated the Purpose of the Board

Agenda Item 1 Public Interest Comments - No action.

There were no public interest comments.

Agenda Item 2 Approval of agenda - For possible action.

Dr. Lurie stated that agenda items 8 and 16 would not be heard and agenda item 12 would be heard out of order. Dr. Martinez moved to approve the agenda. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 3</u> Approval of the April 11, 2024 and the May 17, 2024 Board Meeting Minutes - For possible action.

Dr. Jaeger moved to approve the Board meeting minutes for April 11, 2024 and May 17, 2024. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

Agenda Item 4 Legislative Matters - For possible action.

Mr. Musgrove, on behalf of Strategies 360, was present and reported that the primary election took place and only 19% of the 2 million registered voters voted. Mr. Musgrove stated that the new DMV system defaults to non-partisan when someone registers to vote if they do not make a selection, so currently Nevada registered voters are 34% non-partisan, 31% Democrats and 28% Republican. Mr. Musgrove shared that depending on who gets elected there will be approximately 25% turnover at the legislature next session. Mr. Musgrove reminded the Board that if they are planning on submitting a bill he will need it soon in order to find a sponsor. There are 67 BDRs that have been released to date, of which, eight BDRs are healthcare bills.

Agenda Item 5 NCA/NCC Report - No action.

Dr. Lurie stated that there was nobody present from the NCA or NCC, however correspondence was emailed to the Board from the NCA regarding agenda items 13, 15 and 16.

Agenda Item 6 Board Counsel Report - No action.

Mr. Ling shared information relating to the United States Supreme Court decision regarding the Chevron doctrine and how it may relate to Boards and Commissions. This case said that the courts would give deference to the decision or interpretation rendered by the administrative agency who interpret their own statutes and regulations. For example, if the EPA writes a regulation on an environmental issue, they have a group of experts who formulated that regulation and went through the public vetting process and when it gets enforced it makes sense to say expertise should guide how that regulation is interpreted. In Nevada, the reference to the Chevron case has never been made by our Supreme Court, but our Supreme Court in Nevada has followed the basic concept of Chevron. The business community has voice concern stating that District Courts and the Court system should be able to interpret the law and apply the law and not have to defer to the administrative agency and ends up in front of a Federal District Court, they do not have to give deference to the interpretation of the administrative agency. Mr. Ling stated that if the Board has a law that requires interpretation we will write a regulation to clear it up.

<u>Agenda Item 7</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the Settlement Agreement and Order in the Matter of Julie Quan, DC – For possible action. (Note: The Board may go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241 to consider the character, alleged misconduct, or professional competence of Dr. Julie Quan).

Dr. Lurie asked if anyone would like to move into a closed session and there was no motion. Dr. Lurie turned this matter over to Mr. Ling and Dr. Jaeger. Mr. Ling provided a summary of the Settlement Agreement and Order in the matter of Dr. Julie Quan. Dr. Lurie asked for questions from the Board. Dr. Lurie asked Dr. Quan if she would like to address the Board and she thanked the Board for their time. Dr. Lurie made a motion to accept the Settlement Agreement and Order as outlined by Mr. Ling. Dr. Ingles seconded, and the motion

July 11, 2024

passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 12</u> Consideration of potential additions, deletions, and/or amendments to NRS 634 and NAC 634 – For possible action.

A. NRS 634.018(1) B. NRS 634.018(9) C. NRS 634.020(2)(b) D. NRS 634.070(1) E. NRS 634.070(2) F. NRS 634.090 (1)(b) G. NRS 634.100 (2)(b) H. NRS 634,100(3) I. NRS 634.030 (2)(b) J. NRS 634.135 K. NRS 634.137 (1) L. NRS 634,227(1) (a) M. NRS 634.227(3) (a) N. NAC 634,119 O. NAC 634.200 P. NAC 634.305(2) Q. NAC 634.320 R. NAC 634.330 S. NAC 634.3475(1)f) T. NAC 634.3475(5)(b)(2) U. NAC 634.357(2) V. NAC 634.385 W. NAC 634.430

Dr. Lurie referenced the sections of NRS to be included in the Board bill. Mr. Ling stated that the revisions to NRS have been discussed previously and have now been provided in a format to be presented to Mr. Musgrove to find a sponsor. Mr. Ling stated that it appears that there is a misunderstanding among the public as it relates to the CA program and clarified that this language is to enact changes to the CA program. Going forward, a CA would become certified following on-the-job training. The CA applicant will attest that they have read and understand the statutes and regulations in lieu of an examination, however if the CA will be performing radiology there will be an examination on radiology.

Dr. Jaeger made a motion to approve the revisions to NRS 634. Dr. Lurie seconded, and confirmed that the Board will provide a draft to Mr. Musgrove to find a sponsor for the Board bill to be heard before the legislature. The motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 11</u> Discussion and determination pursuant to NRS 622A.300 whether case will be heard by the Board, a panel of Board members, or a hearing officer in the matter of Tad Tenney, DC – For possible action.

Mr. Ling outlined the options on how the hearing in the matter of Dr. Tad Tenney could be held. Dr. Lurie recommended that the panel consist of two to three chiropractic physicians and one consumer member or have a full Board hearing. Dr. Jaeger made a motion to hold the hearing before a panel of two to three chiropractic physicians and one consumer member. Dr. Martinez seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Lurie recused himself as the investigating board member.

<u>Agenda Item 9</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the Application for Julio Olivares, DC – For possible action. (Note: The Board may go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241 to consider the character, alleged misconduct, or professional competence of Dr. Julio Olivares)

Dr. Lurie stated that Dr. Olivares appeared before the Board at its January 11, 2024 meeting and his application to change his status from inactive to active was denied. Dr. Lurie welcomed Dr. Olivares back before the Board today and welcomed his counsel, Logan Wilson, Esq. Dr. Lurie referenced that Dr. Olivares completed a psychological evaluation as well as a psychosexual evaluation. Dr. Lurie asked Julie Strandberg to provide an overview of Dr. Olivares's background. Dr. Lurie asked if the Board would like to go into closed session. Mr. Wilson requested on behalf of Dr. Olivares that the Board go into closed session. Dr. Lurie made a motion to go into closed session. Dr. Jaeger seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Lurie turned the time over to Dr. Olivares's attorney, Mr. Willson for comments. The Board asked questions of Dr. Olivares.

Dr. Lurie made a motion to go back into open session. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

Dr. Jaeger made a motion to deny Dr. Olivarcs's application. Dr. Ingles seconded. Mr. Ayazi asked Mr. Ling if it was appropriate to state the reasons for denial on the record and Mr. Ling stated that it was appropriate, because stating reasons for denial will assist Dr. Oliveras in his understanding. Mr. Ayazi stated that he is concerned with the outstanding factual issues. Mr. Ayazi stated that there is not an understanding of why there was an acceptance of the allegations in 2001, beyond Dr. Olivares indicating that his lawyer told him to do it. Mr. Ayazi stated that if there is a pattern in practice of sexual misconduct, Dr. Olivares should understand that the public cannot afford to have someone engaging in that misconduct. Mr. Ayazi stated that it would be helpful if there was factual evidence that could be presented, so the Board can have a better understanding to make a decision. Dr. Lurie stated that he does not see that Dr. Olivares is enrolled in a remediation or diversion program and does not have an understanding that Dr. Olivares understands the laws when engaging with patients in the clinic. The results of the evaluations completed also raise some concerns. Dr. Lurie stated that it is the Board's duty to protect the public and these issues need to be addressed, so this Board feels comfortable activating Dr. Olivares's license. Dr. Ingles recommended that Dr. Olivares contact the Oregon Board and submit the evaluations that he submitted to this Board to potentially clear up that case. Dr. Ingles also recommended that based on Dr. Olivares's history that he enters into a counseling program to cope with situations in his life, such as the DUI. The motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Lurie recommended that Dr. Olivares bring a clear explanation back before the Board for consideration. Mr. Willson thanked the Board for giving them a framework to work with and stated that they will hopefully be able to answer the Board's questions proposed today, at the next meeting.

<u>Agenda Item 8</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the Settlement Agreement and Order in the Matter of Todd Wendell, DC – For possible action. (Note: The Board may go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241 to consider the character, alleged misconduct, or

professional competence of Dr. Todd Wendell)

The Board rescheduled this hearing for Friday, July 19, 2024 at 12:00 p.m. via zoom.

<u>Agenda Item 10</u> Status report regarding anonymous profiles of possible disciplinary actions. Board action will be limited to either dismissing the matter if the Board determines there is no violation, it has no jurisdiction over the subject, or providing direction to pursue the matter further – For possible action:

A. Complaint 19-12S (Martinez)

Dr. Martinez stated that the Board had been waiting on a decision from the Nye County District Attorney's office as to whether they were going to pursue a criminal charge as it related to alleged embezzlement and the Board received notice that they are not. Dr. Martinez stated that the complainant does have the right to pursue a civil matter, however Dr. Martinez stated that he does not see that there is a basis to move forward without a criminal conviction. Dr. Martinez recommended that this case be dismissed. Dr. Lurie made a motion to dismiss complaint 19-12S. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Martinez recused himself as the investigating board member. Dr. Jaeger also recused himself.

B. Complaint 21-31S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that items B, P, R and CC are complaints against Tad Tenney, DC and stated that this case will be moving to a hearing.

C. Complaint 22-198 (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that this complaint 22-19S, complaint 23-12S and complaint 23-13S are being investigated together and are still under investigation.

D. Complaint 22-21N (Martinez)

Dr. Martinez stated this is the Settlement Agreement and Order in the Matter of Todd Wendell, DC, which has been rescheduled for Friday, July 19, 2024 at noon via zoom.

E. Complaint 22-23N (Martinez)

Dr. Martinez stated this is the Settlement Agreement and Order in the Matter of Todd Wendell, DC, which has been rescheduled for Friday, July 19, 2024 at noon via zoom.

F. Complaint 23-02N (Ingles)

Dr. Ingles stated that this case was to be heard today, however the DC obtained counsel who requested a continuance, which was granted. This matter will be rescheduled for a future meeting.

G. Complaint 23-12S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that this complaint, complaint 22-19S and complaint 23-13S are being investigated together and are still under investigation.

H. Complaint 23-13S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that this complaint, complaint 22-19S and complaint 23-12S are being investigated together and are still under investigation.

I. Complaint 23-15S (Nolle)

Julie Strandberg stated that a notice will be issued within the next week.

J. Complaint 23-16S (Nolle)

Julie Strandberg stated that a notice will be issued within the next week.

K. Complaint 23-18S (Jaeger)

Dr. Jaeger stated that the complainant visited the licensee for a PPD rating and the DC had the file in a briefcase in his car, which was broken into and stolen. Dr. Jaeger recommended that this case be dismissed. Dr. Ingles made a motion to dismiss complaint 23-18S. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Jaeger recused himself as the investigating board member.

L. Complaint 23-198 (Canada)

Mr. Ling stated that this complaint can be dismissed based on Dr. Canada's recommendation that she has been unsuccessful at contacting the complainant following several attempts. Dr. Lurie made a motion to dismiss complaint 23-19S. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

M. Complaint 23-208 (Jaeger)

Dr. Jaeger stated that this is the complaint against Dr. Julie Quan. The Board approved the Settlement Agreement and Order under agenda item 7.

N. Complaint 23-21S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

O. Complaint 23-22S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

P. Complaint 23-23S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that items B, P, R and CC are complaints against Tad Tenney, DC and stated that this case will be moving to a hearing.

Q. Complaint 23-24N (Martinez)

Dr. Martinez stated that the complainant alleged inappropriate verbiage and touching. Dr. Martinez stated that the DC has been in practice for 30 plus years without an incident and based on the discussion with the patient and the DC there were no inconsistencies, just interpretation on what was said. Dr. Martinez stated that he did not find any intentional misconduct on the DC, although the DC could have done a better job of instructing the patient on what to expect. Dr. Martinez recommended that this complaint be dismissed with a letter of instruction to include that the DC take four hours of continuing education in ethics and

boundaries, which has been completed. Dr. Lurie made a motion to dismiss complaint 23-24N with a letter of instruction. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Martinez recused himself as the investigating board member.

R. Complaint 23-27S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that items B, P, R and CC are complaints against Tad Tenney, DC and stated that this case will be moving to a hearing.

S. Complaint 23-31S (Ingles)

Dr. Ingles stated that this is the child support case which has been discussed and will be going to hearing with respect to misrepresenting the responses on the license reinstatement application.

T. Complaint 24-01S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

U. Complaint 24-02S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

V. Complaint 24-03S (Ingles)

Dr. Ingles stated that the patient signed up for a neuropathy care plan and completed the program, but did not see any results. Dr. Ingles stated that the treatment was not below the standard of care, but the patient filed the complaint, because they did not see the expected results and paid a large sum of money. Dr. Ingles spoke to the patient and recommended that they contact the DC to pursue a refund and recommended that this complaint be dismissed. Dr. Jaeger made a motion to dismiss complaint 24-03S. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Ingles recused himself as the investigating board member.

W. Complaint 24-04S (Jaeger)

The complainant alleged billing fraud for prescribing an electrical stim pad or heating pad that the patient did not authorize and subsequently turned into a \$5,100 collection notice. Dr. Jaeger stated that the DC that the complaint was originally filed against was not the treating DC, but has since identified the correct DC. Mr. Ling recommended that this complaint remain open and a new complaint be opened to identify the new DC.

X. Complaint 24-05S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that the DC has retained counsel and this complaint is under investigation. A meeting is scheduled to meet with the DC and his attorney.

Y. Complaint 24-06S (Ingles)

Dr. Ingles stated that the complainant, who did not speak English, was referred to a DC for a PPD rating. The DC stated that they spoke four languages. The complainant alleged that thee brought an interpreter to their appointment and the

DC made inappropriate comments. However, the DC and his staff stated that the patient did not bring an interpreter. While performing the exam the patient was not cooperative and refused to do certain tests. Dr. Ingles recommended that this complaint be dismissed. Dr. Lurie made a motion to dismiss complaint 24-06S. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Ingles recused himself as the investigating board member.

Z. Complaint 24-07S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that this complaint is similar to the complaint filed by this complainant in 2010, which was dismissed. Dr. Lurie recommended that this complaint also be dismissed. Mr. Ling stated that dismissal of this case is appropriate, because the allegations in the case have already been investigated and dismissed years ago by the Board. Mr. Ayazi made a motion to dismiss complaint 24-07S. Dr. Ingles seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Lurie recused himself as the investigating board member.

AA. Complaint 24-08S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

BB. Complaint 24-098 (Martinez)

The complainant alleged that a DC is providing chiropractic services without a license and is currently under investigation.

CC. Complaint 24-10S (Lurie)

Dr. Lurie stated that items B, P, R and CC these are complaints against Tad Tenney, DC and stated that this case will be moving to a hearing.

DD. Complaint 24-11N (Martinez)

Dr. Martinez stated that this was a dual investigation with the Board of Pharmacy on a multi-jurisdictional practice. It was alleged that medications were being dispensed by the DC. Following a visit to the practice, Dr. Martinez was not able to obtain evidence that the DC was dispensing medications and recommended dismissal. Dr. Jaeger made a motion to dismiss complaint 24-11N. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor. Dr. Martinez recused himself as the investigating board member.

EE. Complaint 24-12S (Canada)

Dr. Canada was not present to report.

FF. Complaint 24-138 (Jaeger)

Dr. Jaeger stated that the complainant alleged that when they arrived at the office only the chiropractic assistant was present who proceeded to perform a spinal adjustment. Dr. Jaeger has attempted to reach out to the complainant by phone and email and has not received a response.

<u>Agenda Item 13</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the Chiropractic Assistant program and examinations – For possible action.

Dr. Lurie stated that the Board received correspondence from Preferred Chiropractic, Dr. Jim Overland, Paola Montenegro, CA and Louis Clavijo, CA.

Dr. Lurie stated that he wanted to make it clear that the Board is removing examinations to make it easier for DCs to bring CAs into their practice. The Board was looking at Arizona's model where CAs are registered by performing a number of hours of education or on-the-job-training and moving to an attestation in lieu of being required to take the law exam. CAs would still be required to take an examination on radiology. Dr. Lurie made a motion that the DC who completes the CA's training sign an attestation confirming that the CA completed the applicable on-the-job training hours. Dr. Ingles seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 14</u> Discussion and potential action regarding chiropractic physicians and chiropractic assistants being required to be certified in CPR and necessary continuing education – For possible action

Dr. Lurie asked if the Board feels that CPR should be mandatory? The Board was in agreement that chiropractic assistants be certified in CPR and allow continuing education for the course. Dr. Lurie requested that additional information be gathered and tabled this item until the next meeting.

<u>Agenda Item 15</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the radiology resource guide and examination – For possible action

Dr. Lurie pointed out the Boards existing radiology reference guide as well as the radiology reference guides utilized by the NBCE. Dr. Lurie stated that the Board will need to recognize the reference guide that the board would like to use when preparing the radiology examination for the CA's. Dr. Jaeger asked that the PCCRP Radiology Guidelines be added to the list for consideration. Dr. Lurie asked that Julie Strandberg reach out to the NCA and NCC for recommended radiology guides.

<u>Agenda Item 16</u> Discussion and potential action regarding chiropractic assistants performing prescribed physiotherapy while the chiropractic physician is not present in the clinic – For possible action.

Dr. Lurie stated that this agenda item would not be heard today and was referenced under agenda item 2.

<u>Agenda Item 17</u> Discussion and potential action regarding allowing chiropractic assistants the ability to obtain a temporary certificate - For possible action.

Dr. Lurie referenced the proposed language to allow a chiropractic assistant to apply for a temporary certificate similar to a chiropractic physician. Dr. Lurie made a motion to add the chiropractic assistant temporary certificate language to the Board bill. Dr. Jaeger seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 18</u> Discussion and potential action regarding selling pre-paid plans and issuing refunds for services not rendered - For possible action.

Dr. Lurie turned this agenda item over to Dr. Ingles and Mr. Ling. Dr. Ingles stated that he has investigated a couple cases that involve DC's selling pre-paid plans and refusing to refund for the services not rendered. Dr. Ingles stated that it is not appropriate for a DC to keep money for services that were not provided. Mr. Ling iterated that it is not a good public policy that DCs keep money for services not rendered and stated that the issues being raised by Dr. Ingles are different from previous discussions, because DCs are selling plans with very specific language in their contracts that indicates no refunds. The DC may charge \$10k for the plan and the patient may only use half the package, but the DC will not refund the difference due to the "no refund" clause in the contract. Mr. Ling stated that this Board has discussed how to handle pre-paid plans in the past, however it was only related to chiropractic type care and as of recent, the care may be to treat diabetes, allergies, neuropathy, etc. and programs that include the sale of hard goods, diet shakes, physiotherapy equipment, etc. Dr. Lurie stated that when this topic originally came up, it was suggested that the Board add language to require that pre-payment plan funds be put into an escrow account and the DC would draw off of that escrow account when those services were performed.

Mr. Ling stated that the discussion today was do determine whether the Board is interested in adding language to the regulation regarding pre-paid plans. Dr. Ingles referred to language regarding pre-paid plans that is available in Washington. It was recommended that Julie Strandberg bring language regarding pre-paid plans from other states to the next Board meeting.

Agenda Item 19 FCLB/NBCE Matters - For possible action.

A. FCLB District Meeting - Scottsdale, AZ - October 4-5, 2024

B. Other FCLB/NBCE matters.

Dr. Lurie asked if anyone was interested in attending the district meeting and stated that he will be attending the district meeting, October 4-5 in Scottsdale, AZ. Dr. Jaeger encouraged everyone attend if possible. Mr. Ayazi stated that he may be able to attend.

Dr. Jaeger expressed that the NBCE is working toward streamlining the exam process to be competency-based testing and has a strong collaboration with the Association of Chiropractic Colleges (ACC) and the Council on Chiropractic Education (CCE) to reduce the cost of the test. Dr. Jaeger shared that the revenue from the EBAS program has doubled, which is the for-profit portion of the NBCE and the target from the revenue is beginning to off-set the costs of chiropractic testing and will ultimately lead to eliminating costs to the chiropractic students.

<u>Agenda Item 20</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the receipt of continuing education credit to Board Members and licensees for attendance at FCLB Meetings. – For possible action.

Dr. Lurie referenced the Boards current policy and asked the Board for their thoughts on how the Board would like to handle CE for attending FCLB meetings going forward. Dr. Lurie is in favor of credit for some hours, but not 12 due to the down time during the conference. Dr. Jaeger recommended a 4-hour threshold. Dr. Lurie made a motion that Board members who pay their own way to the FCLB meetings are eligible to receive 4 hours of continuing education per meeting for attendance at an FCLB Annual meeting or the district meeting. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 21</u> Discussion and potential action regarding adoption of the FCLB – Recognized Chiropractic Specialty Program (RCSP) – For Possible Action.

Julie Strandberg stated that she accepted the request from Dr. Karen Campion to sit on this committee provided a summary of the RCSP. Mr. Ling stated that the RCSP will have to be in line with the Board's expectations. Dr. Lurie stated that the certification would have to be July 11, 2024

100-hour program and provide an examination. Dr. Lurie recommended that the RCSP include language that states that each state refer to their specific requirements.

<u>Agenda Item 22</u> Discussion and potential action regarding policies in accordance with the revisions to regulations – For possible action.

A. Policy 32 Continuing Education for Board Meeting Attendance Julie Strandberg referred to the revised language provided and referenced that the verbiage stated by Dr. Lurie during today's introduction be added and brought back to the next meeting. Dr. Jaeger made a motion to approve the Board meeting attendance policy. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

B. Policy 33 Regular Meetings

Julie Strandberg stated that since it is appropriate to add the definition of a regular meeting to the Board's policies, this language was eliminated from regulation during the request from the Governor to reduce regulation. Dr. Lurie made a motion to approve the policy. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

<u>Agenda Item 23</u> Discussion and potential action regarding the Board office security system – For possible action.

Julie Strandberg explained that staff received quotes from Brinks, ADT and Vivint for the office security per the Boards request. Julie Strandberg recommended that the Board reinstate its agreement with Vivint for the Board office security. Dr. Lurie made a motion to approve the Board office security with Vivint. Mr. Ayazi seconded, and the motion passed with all in favor.

Agenda Item 24 Committee Reports

- A. Continuing Education Committee (Dr. Martinez) For possible action. Dr. Martinez stated that he had nothing to report.
- B. Legislative Committee (Dr. Lurie) For possible action.

Dr. Lurie stated that the Board has a lot of work coming up due to the changes to regulations and statutes. Dr. Lurie recommended that the Board send a welcome/congratulations letter to new legislators.

- C. Preceptorship Committee (Dr. Ingles) For possible action. Dr. Ingles commented that the only question on the preceptor application is regarding child support and asked that the arrest questions be included.
- D. Test Committee (Dr. Canada) For possible action. Dr. Canada was not present to report.

Agenda Item 25 Executive Director Reports:

- A. Status of Pending Complaints No action.
- B. Status of Current Disciplinary Actions No action.
- C. Legal/Investigatory Costs No action.

Julie Strandberg provided a summary of the executive director reports.

Agenda Item 26 Financial Status Reports:

- A. Current cash position & projections No action.
- B. Accounts Receivable Summary No action.

- C. Accounts Payable Summary No action.
- D. Employee Accrued Compensation No action.
- E. Income/Expense Actual to Budget Comparison as of May 31, 2024 No action.
- F. Budget to Actual at May 31, 2024 No action. Julie Strandberg provided a summary of the financial reports.

Agenda Item 27 Discussion and potential action regarding staff evaluations - For possible action.

A. Brett Canady, Licensing Specialist

Julie Strandberg provided the evaluation for Brett Canady. The Board provided feedback and denied Ms. Canady's pay increase and recommended that a plan be put in place to address excessive leave and a revised review be presented at the next Board meeting.

Agenda Item 28 Board Member Comments - No action.

Dr. Lurie commented on ensuring that complaints are handled timely.

Agenda Item 29 Public Interest Comments - No action.

There were no public comments.

Agenda Item 30 Adjournment - For possible action.

Mr. Ayazi moved to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Lurie seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

October 10, 2024

Jason O.

Secretary-Treasure